

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 08/882,197                       | 06/25/1997  | PAUL GREER           | 42390.P4072              | 3875            |
| 8791 7                           | 7590 04/22/2003   |                      |                          |                 |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN |   |                      | EXAMINER                 |                 |
|                                  | 2400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 |                      | MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M |                 |
|                                  |   |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                                  |   |                      | 3623                     |                 |
|                                  |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003  |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ··  | A - I' - I' - N -  | Analizantia  |     |
|---|--|--|-----|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |     |
| Advisory Action   | 08/882,197   | GREER ET AL.   |     |
| ·   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |     |
|   | Susanna M. Diaz  | 3623   |     |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cov r sh et with the c  | orrespondence address  |     |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which  | ntion. A proper reply to a not places the application in                             |     |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |     |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  |  | in the first site of the state of the  |     |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH   | g date of the final rejection.<br>BE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                       |     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action; of | ion |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF  | •  |  |     |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |     |
| (a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);   |     |
| (b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | elow);   |  |     |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the   | Э   |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceling   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.   |     |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  |     |
| 3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti   | on(s):   |  |     |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | parate, timely filed amendment   | 1   |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | dered but does NOT place the   |     |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were newly  |     |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |  |     |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |     |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |     |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |     |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-6,9-13 and 17-57</u> .  |  |  |     |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |     |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp   | roved by the Examiner.   |     |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | ·  |     |
| 10. Other:  | , , <u> </u>   |  |     |
|   | SUP  | TARIO R. HAFIZ<br>ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER<br>ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600                  |     |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment would incorporate the newly added limitation "wherein the first agent automatically gathers information from the target computer without the user's authorization" into independent claims 3, 9, and 17. This limitation would require further consideration and search.